

Fluoroperoxouranates(VI). Synthesis and physico-chemical studies of $(\text{NH}_4)_2[\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)\text{F}_2]$ and $\text{K}_2[\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)\text{F}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})]$

Chira R. Bhattacharjee, Mihir K. Chaudhuri*, Shiv K. Chettri and John J. Laiwan

Department of Chemistry, North-Eastern Hill University, Shillong 793 003 (India)

(Received October 13, 1992; accepted March 17, 1993)

Abstract

Complex peroxouranates $(\text{NH}_4)_2[\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)\text{F}_2]$ (**1**) and $\text{K}_2[\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)\text{F}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})]$ (**2**) have been synthesized from the reaction of $\text{UO}_3 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ with AHF_2 ($\text{A} = \text{NH}_4$ or K) and hydrogen peroxide. The reaction of **1** with $\text{SO}_2(\text{g})$ in an aqueous medium afforded a fluoro(sulfato)dioxouranate(VI) complex $(\text{NH}_4)_2[\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2(\text{SO}_4)] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**3**). Infrared and laser Raman spectroscopic studies have been made.

Introduction

We have been studying recently the chemistry of fluoro and mixed fluoro complexes of UO_2^{2+} [1–3] and peroxometallates [4–7]. During the course of our investigation it became necessary to synthesize fluoro(peroxo)uranates(VI). Since the preparation of such complexes [3] is rather tedious, we sought to develop an easier route. A new synthesis for $(\text{NH}_4)_2[\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)\text{F}_2]$ (**1**) and an additional example of a fluoro(peroxo)uranate(VI), $\text{K}_2[\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)\text{F}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})]$ (**2**), from the reaction of $\text{UO}_3 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ with AHF_2 ($\text{A} = \text{NH}_4$ or K) and hydrogen peroxide and the subsequent reaction of **1** with $\text{SO}_2(\text{g})$ in an aqueous medium constitute the subject of the current report.

Experimental

Reagent grade chemicals were used for the syntheses. Fresh samples of NH_4HF_2 and KHF_2 were prepared by the method described earlier [8]. Reactivity grade water [4, 5, 7] was used in the studies. Infrared and laser Raman (LR) spectra were recorded using instruments detailed previously [7]. Uranium, peroxide, fluoride and sulfate were estimated as reported previously [3, 7, 9].

Starting material, $\text{UO}_3 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$

To a solution consisting of 5 g of $\text{UO}_2(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in 100 cm^3 water was added 10 cm^3 of pyridine whereupon a yellow precipitate appeared. The precipitate

was washed three or four times with water, twice with acetone, and finally dried by heating on a steam bath to obtain $\text{UO}_3 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$. Complete removal of pyridine was monitored by IR spectroscopy.

Synthesis of $(\text{NH}_4)_2[\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)\text{F}_2]$ (**1**) and $\text{K}_2[\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)\text{F}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})]$ (**2**)

General method

A 1.0 g (2.8 mmol) sample of $\text{UO}_3 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and 2.0 g (35.1 mmol) of NH_4HF_2 or 2.73 g (35.05 mmol) of KHF_2 were mixed together and the mixture dissolved in 20 cm^3 water in a 250 cm^3 polyethylene beaker by warming on a steam bath. The solution was filtered to remove undissolved residue and then cooled to room temperature. To this was added 24 cm^3 (211.8 mmol) of 30% H_2O_2 with stirring and the pH of the solution was raised to 6 by dropwise addition of aqueous ammonia (sp. gr. 0.9) or a 25% solution of KOH whereupon a yellow solid began to appear. Complete precipitation of the product was achieved by adding 25 cm^3 of ethanol. The compound was separated by suction filtration. The yield of $(\text{NH}_4)_2[\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)\text{F}_2]$ (**1**) was 1 g (95%) whilst that of $\text{K}_2[\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)\text{F}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})]$ (**2**) was 1.1 g (88%).

Reaction of $(\text{NH}_4)_2[\text{UO}_2(\text{O}_2)\text{F}_2]$ (**1**) with $\text{SO}_2(\text{g})$

Through a suspension of **1** (1 g, 2.7 mmol) in 20 cm^3 of deoxygenated water was passed $\text{SO}_2(\text{g})$ with constant stirring until a clear yellow solution was obtained at pH 2. The solution was concentrated to half of its original volume by heating on a steam bath. On addition of ethanol, a yellow crystalline product was obtained. This was separated by filtration, washed with ethanol and dried *in vacuo* over conc. H_2SO_4 . The yield of $(\text{NH}_4)_2[\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2(\text{SO}_4)] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**3**) was 0.9 g (77%).

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

TABLE 1. Analytical data and structurally significant IR and Raman bands for compounds 1-3

Compound	Analysis ^a (%)				IR (cm ⁻¹)	Raman (cm ⁻¹)	Assignments	
	N or K	U	O _A ^b or SO ₄ ^c	F				
(NH ₄) ₂ [UO ₂ (O ₂)F ₂] (1)	7.55	63.8	8.38 ^b	9.82	900(s)	}	ν(U=O)	
	(7.45)	(63.29)	(8.51) ^b	(10.10)	885(s)			
					850(s)		ν(O-O)	
					350(m,br)		ν(U-F)	
					1470(s)	}	ν ₄ (N-H)	
					1430(s)			
				1715(w)		ν ₄ + ν ₆		
				2845(w)		2ν ₄		
K ₂ [UO ₂ (O ₂)F ₂ (H ₂ O)] (2)	18.10	54.72	6.93 ^b	8.22	895(s)	}	ν(U=O)	
	(17.92)	(54.56)	(7.34) ^b	(8.71)	880(s)			860
					855(s)	835		
					360(m)	815	ν(O-O)	
					3545(s)		ν(U-F)	
					1635(s)		ν(O-H)	
				725(m)		δ(H-O-H)		
						ρ _r (H-O-H)		
(NH ₄) ₂ [UO ₂ F ₂ (SO ₄)]·H ₂ O (3)	5.82	52.16	20.14 ^c	7.95	910(s)		ν(U=O)	
	(6.12)	(51.95)	(20.96) ^c	(8.29)				
					1165(s)	}	ν ₃	
					1120(s)			
					1075(s)			
					995(m)		ν ₁	ν(S-O) modes
					678(s)	}	ν ₄	
					625(s)			
					560(s)			
					455(m)		ν ₂	
					1405(s)		ν ₄ (N-H)	
					3450(s,br)		ν(O-H)	
				1640(s)		δ(H-O-H)		
				370(m)		ν(U-F)		

^aCalculated values are given in parentheses.

^bActive oxygen.

^cSulfate.

Results and discussion

Synthesis

Based upon the reaction of UO₃·4H₂O with AHF₂ (A = NH₄ or K) and hydrogen peroxide, a new methodology has now been developed for the synthesis of fluoro(peroxo)uranates(VI), (NH₄)₂[UO₂(O₂)F₂] (1) and K₂[UO₂(O₂)F₂(H₂O)] (2), in very high yields. While a complex similar to 1 is known [3], species 2 is new. The sequence of addition of AHF₂ followed by H₂O₂ is important since the reverse order leads to the precipitation of UO₂(O₂)·4H₂O.

Properties and characterization

Compounds 1 and 2 are yellow, microcrystalline and sparingly soluble solids. They attack glass slowly in the presence of moisture and decompose in dilute acids producing H₂O₂.

The IR spectra of 1 and 2 and the laser Raman spectrum of 2 provide clear evidence for the occurrence of UO₂²⁺, chelated O₂²⁻ and coordinated F⁻ (Table 1) with the corresponding absorptions being in the expected regions [3, 10, 11]. The observed splitting of the ν(U=O) mode indicates the possibility of bent [O=U=O]²⁺ cores in the complexes. Apart from these, the IR spectrum of 2 exhibits features characteristic of the coordinated aqua ligand [12a]. Interestingly, as a result of hydrogen-bond formation between NH₄⁺ and F⁻, the ν₄ mode of NH₄⁺ ion appeared in the IR spectrum of 1 at a frequency higher than 1400 cm⁻¹, the combination band (ν₄ + ν₆) became IR-active [13] and the U-F stretching band was lowered and broadened in comparison to that of 2.

Reactivity

The reaction of SO₂(g) with 1 in an aqueous medium was facile and straightforward. The complete dissolution

of **1** and the absence of the peroxo mode [$\nu(\text{O}=\text{O})$ at $c. 850 \text{ cm}^{-1}$] in the IR spectrum of the product isolated from the solution indicated that the reaction had been completed. The bonded peroxide interacted with the substrate gas giving rise to a ternary complex $(\text{NH}_4)_2[\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2(\text{SO}_4)] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**3**) at pH 2. Product **3** is new although many uranyl fluoride sulfato complexes, e.g. $\text{K}_2[\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2(\text{SO}_4)] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ [14], $\text{NH}_4[\text{UO}_2\text{F}(\text{SO}_4)]$ [15, 16], $(\text{NH}_4)_2[\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2(\text{SO}_4)]$ [16], $(\text{NH}_4)_7[(\text{UO}_2)_2\text{F}_5(\text{SO}_4)]$ [15] and $(\text{NH}_4)_2[(\text{UO}_2)_2\text{F}_4(\text{SO}_4)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]$ [15], are known.

The yellow complex **3** is soluble in water with the electrical conductance of its solution (10^{-3} M) being $258 \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ in conformity with its 2:1 electrolytic nature. The vibrational spectrum of **3** supports its formulation. In contrast to the spectra of **1** and **2**, the $\nu(\text{U}=\text{O})$ band for **3** was not split, suggesting the presence of a linear $\text{O}=\text{U}=\text{O}$ grouping. The evidence for bidentate sulfate was provided by the splitting of the ν_3 and ν_4 vibrations into three bands each (Table 1). Significantly, the occurrence of the split ν_3 modes at relatively lower wavenumbers ($< 1200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) relative to those of a chelated sulfate [12b] indicates the bridging nature of the bidentate ligand. Equally interesting was the broadening of the $\nu(\text{U}-\text{F})$ band, implying the existence of fluoride bridges. Incidentally, this type of double bridging is encountered in mixed fluoro-(sulfato)metallates [17] including $\text{K}_2[\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2(\text{SO}_4)] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ [14]. The IR spectrum of **3** is fully consistent with that of the crystallographically characterized $\text{K}_2[\text{UO}_2\text{F}_2(\text{SO}_4)] \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ [14]. Given the similarity of the spectra, a similar structure for the former complex is likely.

Acknowledgement

We thank the CSIR, New Delhi for a fellowship to CRB and the DAE, Government of India for partial financial support.

References

- 1 M.K. Chaudhuri, D.T. Khathing and P. Srinivas, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, **56** (1992) 305.
- 2 M.K. Chaudhuri, P. Srinivas and D.T. Khathing, *Polyhedron*, **12** (1993) 227.
- 3 M.N. Bhattacharjee, M.K. Chaudhuri and R.N. Dutta Purkayastha, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, (1985) 409.
- 4 M.N. Bhattacharjee, M.K. Chaudhuri and N.S. Islam, *Inorg. Chem.*, **28** (1989) 2420.
- 5 C.R. Bhattacharjee, M. Bhattacharjee, M.K. Chaudhuri and S. Choudhury, *Polyhedron*, **9** (1990) 1653.
- 6 M. Bhattacharjee, M.K. Chaudhuri and N.S. Islam, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Chem. Sci.)*, **102** (1990) 365.
- 7 C.R. Bhattacharjee and M.K. Chaudhuri, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, (1992) 2943.
- 8 M.K. Chaudhuri and P.K. Choudhury, *Chem. Ind. (London)*, (1979) 88.
- 9 M. Bhattacharjee, M.K. Chaudhuri and R.N. Dutta Purkayastha, *Inorg. Chem.*, **25** (1986) 2354.
- 10 W.P. Griffith, *J. Chem. Soc.*, (1963) 5345; *ibid.*, (1964) 5248; W.P. Griffith and T.D. Wickins, *ibid.*, (1968) 397; C. Djordjevic, S.A. Craig and E. Sinn, *Inorg. Chem.*, **24** (1985) 1283.
- 11 B. Frlc and H.H. Hyman, *Inorg. Chem.*, **6** (1967) 2233.
- 12 K. Nakamoto, *Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds*, 4th edn., Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1986, (a) p. 228, (b) p. 249.
- 13 D.S. Crocket and H.M. Haendler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **82** (1960) 4158.
- 14 N.W. Alcock, M.M. Roberts and M.C. Chakravorti, *Acta Crystallogr.*, **B36** (1980) 687.
- 15 I.I. Chernayev, G.V. Ellert, L.K. Shubochkin and R.N. Shchelokov, *Zh. Neorg. Khim.*, **8** (1963) 1584.
- 16 M.C. Chakravorti and P.K. Bharadwaj, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, **41** (1979) 1149.
- 17 A.J. Edwards, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, (1971) 3074; M.N. Bhattacharjee, M.K. Chaudhuri, M. Devi and K. Yhome, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, (1987) 1055; M.N. Bhattacharjee, M.K. Chaudhuri and M. Devi, *Polyhedron*, **8** (1989) 457.